UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.       | FILING DATE                 | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/777,614            | 02/05/2001                  | Christopher P. Bergh | 10235-048001        | 6722             |
| 26161<br>FISH & RICHA | 7590 01/30/200<br>ARDSON PC | EXAMINER             |                     |                  |
| P.O. BOX 1022         |                             | ALVAREZ, RAQUEL      |                     |                  |
| MINNEAPOLI            | S, MN 55440-1022            |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|                       |                             |                      | 3688                |                  |
|                       |                             |                      |                     |                  |
|                       |                             |                      | NOTIFICATION DATE   | DELIVERY MODE    |
|                       |                             |                      | 01/30/2009          | ELECTRONIC       |

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PATDOCTC@fr.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) |  |
|-----------------|--------------|--|
| 09/777,614      | BERGH ET AL. |  |
| Examiner        | Art Unit     |  |
| Raquel Alvarez  | 3688         |  |

|  | Naquel Alvalez   | 3000  |  |
|--|--|---|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe  | ars on the cover sheet with the c  | correspondence add  | ress                                     |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>12/22/2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLI  | CATION IN CONDITION FOR ALL  | OWANCE.   |  |
| <ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperfor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:</li> </ol>   | the same day as filing a Notice of A<br>replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi<br>eal (with appeal fee) in compliance | Appeal. To avoid abar<br>t, or other evidence, w<br>with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request            |
| a) The period for reply expires <u>4</u> months from the mailing date  | of the final rejection.  |   |  |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (  | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing                                    | g date of the final rejection   | n.                                       |
| MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(1   |  | TINOT KELET WAS TI  |  |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi                                   | of the fee. The appropria<br>nally set in the final Offic                 | ate extension fee<br>e action; or (2) as |
| 2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp   | liance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be t   | filed within two months   | s of the date of                         |
| filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi  | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to  | avoid dismissal of the  |  |
| AMENDMENTS   |  |   |  |
| 3.  ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, k (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below   | nsideration and/or search (see NOา   |   | cause                                    |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in beti appeal; and/or  | **   | ducing or simplifying tl  | ne issues for                            |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a c   | corresponding number of finally reje   | ected claims.   |  |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1)   |  |   |  |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12   | * **   | mpliant Amendment (   | PTOL-324).                               |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  |  |   | ,  |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).   |  | timely filed amendmer   | nt canceling the                         |
| 7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [ how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:   |  | l be entered and an e   | xplanation of                            |
| Claim(s) allowed:  |  |   |  |
| Claim(s) objected to:  |  |   |  |
| Claim(s) rejected:   |  |   |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:   |  |   |  |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  | (  | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·                                     |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but<br/>because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and<br/>was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>  |  |   |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing<br/>entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o<br/>showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>   | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea  | al and/or appellant fail:   | s to provide a                           |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER   |  |   |  |
| 11. $\square$ The request for reconsideration has been considered but  | t does NOT place the application in  | condition for allowan   | ce because:                              |
| 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:   | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)   |   |  |
|  | /Raquel Alvarez/   |   |  |
|  | Primary Examiner, Art U  | nit 3688  |  |
|  |  |   |  |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The newly amended features of "at least one of the offer data processing rules determines a set of offers based on a predetermined time interval as the specific individuals interact with each of the set of offers" will require new consideration and/or search..